**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**
**CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**WRIT PETITION NO.5252 OF 2006.**

Laxman Kisan Chavan ... Petitioner.

V/s.

The Nashik People's Co-op.Bank... Respondents.

Shri A.D. Avhad for the petitioner.

**CORAM: V.C.DAGA, J.**
**DATED: 29.1.2007.**

**P.C.:**

. None for the petitioner even at second call. Petition is liable to be dismissed for want of prosecution.

2. The dismissal of the petition results in moving an application for restoration which crates huge strain on the registry .

3. In the above view of the matter, I myself went through the impugned order and the petition.

4. Both the Courts below have concurrently held that the petitioner was liable to pay dues, though in the impugned order there is slight modification so far as liability of the petitioner is concerned.

5. No case is made out to interfere with the

impugned order. The view taken by the lower appellate Court is a reasonable and possible view. No perversity is demonstrated in the impugned order.

6. In the result, petition is dismissed in limine with no order as to costs.

**(V.C.DAGA, J.)**